

EXHIBIT 37

<p>1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT</p> <p>2 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA</p> <p>3 Richmond Division</p> <p>4 -----x</p> <p>5 ePLUS, iNC.,)</p> <p>6 Plaintiff,)</p> <p>7 v.) Civil Action No.</p> <p>8 LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.,) 3:09-cv-620(REP)</p> <p>9 Defendant.)</p> <p>10 -----x</p> <p>11</p> <p>12 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.</p> <p>13 By and Through Its Corporate Designee</p> <p>14 HERBERT RICHARD LAWSON, JUNIOR</p> <p>15 Washington, DC</p> <p>16 Friday, May 28, 2010</p> <p>17 12:32 p.m.</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20 Job No.: 1-180035</p> <p>21 Pages 1 - 84</p> <p>22 Reported By: Joan V. Cain</p>	<p>1 A P P E A R A N C E S</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF:</p> <p>4 JENNIFER A. ALBERT, ESQUIRE</p> <p>5 GOODWIN PROCTER, LLP</p> <p>6 901 New York Avenue, Northwest</p> <p>7 Washington, DC 20001</p> <p>8 Telephone: (202) 346-4000</p> <p>9 AND</p> <p>10 MICHAEL G. STRAPP, ESQUIRE</p> <p>11 JAMES D. CLEMENTS, ESQUIRE</p> <p>12 GOODWIN PROCTER, LLP</p> <p>13 Exchange Place</p> <p>14 Boston, Massachusetts 02109</p> <p>15 Telephone: (617) 570-1000</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p>
<p>1 Videotaped Deposition of LAWSON SOFTWARE,</p> <p>2 INC., By and Through Its Corporate Designee, HERBERT</p> <p>3 RICHARD LAWSON, JUNIOR, held at the law offices of:</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 GOODWIN PROCTER, LLP</p> <p>6 901 New York Avenue, Northwest</p> <p>7 Washington, DC 20001</p> <p>8 (202) 346-4000</p> <p>9</p> <p>10 Pursuant to Notice, before Joan V. Cain,</p> <p>11 Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public in and</p> <p>12 for the District of Columbia.</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p>	<p>1 A P P E A R A N C E S C O N T I N U E D</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT:</p> <p>4 WILLIAM D. SCHULTZ, ESQUIRE</p> <p>5 MERCHANT & GOULD</p> <p>6 3200 IDS Center</p> <p>7 80 South Eighth Street</p> <p>8 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2215</p> <p>9 Telephone: (612) 332-5300</p> <p>10</p> <p>11 ALSO PRESENT:</p> <p>12 Akim Graham, Videographer</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">13</p> <p>1 and forward.</p> <p>2 BY MR. STRAPP:</p> <p>3 Q Okay. So based on your counsel's</p> <p>4 explanation, do you understand that you're here as</p> <p>5 Lawson's corporate representative to testify about</p> <p>6 versions prior to Lawson 6.0?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q And specifically are you here today to</p> <p>9 testify as Lawson's corporate representative with</p> <p>10 respect to topics 4 and topic 6 as they concern</p> <p>11 Lawson 6.0 -- excuse me -- as they concern Lawson</p> <p>12 Software release 5.0?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 MR. SCHULTZ: Just to clarify for the</p> <p>15 record also, as we had previously discussed, that</p> <p>16 there may be some crossover between 5.0 and 6.0 and</p> <p>17 Mr. Lawson is here to testify as to that crossover</p> <p>18 between the two as well.</p> <p>19 MR. STRAPP: I'm not certain what that</p> <p>20 means, but we'll explore it today.</p> <p>21 BY MR. STRAPP:</p> <p>22 Q Mr. Lawson, what did you do to prepare for</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">15</p> <p>1 spoke with Mr. Dooner?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q Which attorneys were present at that</p> <p>4 meeting?</p> <p>5 A Will was on that meeting.</p> <p>6 Q And was your conversation with Mr. Dooner</p> <p>7 in person or over the phone?</p> <p>8 A Over the phone.</p> <p>9 Q Do you believe that you're the person at</p> <p>10 Lawson most knowledgeable about topics 4 and 6 as</p> <p>11 they concern Lawson Software releases prior to</p> <p>12 release 6.0?</p> <p>13 A I believe I am a person that is</p> <p>14 knowledgeable. There's certainly a lot of other</p> <p>15 people at Lawson Software who are very knowledgeable</p> <p>16 in this area also.</p> <p>17 Q Is there anyone else at Lawson Software</p> <p>18 that you would believe sitting here today that's</p> <p>19 more knowledgeable than you about versions of Lawson</p> <p>20 Software prior to 6.0?</p> <p>21 A That -- that would really be hard for me to</p> <p>22 say. Those are long-time-ago versions, and it's</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">14</p> <p>1 your deposition today?</p> <p>2 A I met with representatives from the law</p> <p>3 firm about 3 weeks ago to see the details of the</p> <p>4 salient points in the lawsuit, and I talked to</p> <p>5 various people at the -- in the development team</p> <p>6 that'd be responsible for the releases.</p> <p>7 Q And when you say for the releases, which</p> <p>8 releases are you referring to?</p> <p>9 A People that knew -- that understood release</p> <p>10 4, 5, and 6 process of our software and, of course,</p> <p>11 later versions too.</p> <p>12 Q And who at Lawson did you speak to that was</p> <p>13 responsible for releases 4, 5, and 6 of Lawson</p> <p>14 Software?</p> <p>15 A He wasn't responsible for 4 or 5. He was</p> <p>16 responsible for 6, but he knew 4 and 5 as part of</p> <p>17 that responsibility. Todd Dooner.</p> <p>18 Q Did you speak with anyone else besides Todd</p> <p>19 Dooner at Lawson regarding versions 4, 5, or 6 of</p> <p>20 Lawson Software?</p> <p>21 A No.</p> <p>22 Q Were there any attorneys present when you</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">16</p> <p>1 people that worked at Lawson for that length of time</p> <p>2 as -- they're big systems. A lot of people would be</p> <p>3 responsible for them, so it depends on the</p> <p>4 questions.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. Let me ask you sitting here today,</p> <p>6 since it was a long time ago, what's your</p> <p>7 recollection about the date of release of Lawson</p> <p>8 Software version 5.0?</p> <p>9 A 5.0, around the 1990.</p> <p>10 Q 1990, any particular month within 1990 that</p> <p>11 you can recall?</p> <p>12 A No.</p> <p>13 Q But are -- are you certain sitting here</p> <p>14 today that it was in the year 1990?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q And what's your recollection based upon</p> <p>17 that it was released 20 years, that version?</p> <p>18 A Doc- -- documentations that have dates on</p> <p>19 them.</p> <p>20 Q Can you specify me -- for me the particular</p> <p>21 documents that you reviewed that refreshed your</p> <p>22 recollection that Lawson Software release 5.0 was</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">21</p> <p>1 very -- at a very bullet-point level between 5.0 and</p> <p>2 6.0.</p> <p>3 Q Do you recall what the date was on that</p> <p>4 document?</p> <p>5 A Just saw it, but I can't tell you the date.</p> <p>6 I don't remember, in terms of the month.</p> <p>7 Q What was your personal involvement in the</p> <p>8 development of Lawson 5.0?</p> <p>9 A My personal involvement almost of all</p> <p>10 releases at Lawson Software has been in the</p> <p>11 technology area of those releases, the -- the</p> <p>12 toolset we use, the coding methods we use, the</p> <p>13 testing methods we use.</p> <p>14 Q What was your position at Lawson Software</p> <p>15 back in 1990, when version 5.0 was released?</p> <p>16 A Well, I'm a founder and an owner, so that's</p> <p>17 a -- that's a position, but basically I was in</p> <p>18 development, technology development.</p> <p>19 Q Did you have a title at the time besides</p> <p>20 founder and owner?</p> <p>21 A We didn't use titles too much, but I guess</p> <p>22 by today's standards it'd be chief technology</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">23</p> <p>1 was responsible for the overall technology of the</p> <p>2 5.0 release for the IBM platform?</p> <p>3 A From a technology point of view, it was the</p> <p>4 other founder, John Cerullo.</p> <p>5 Q What were the -- were -- were there any</p> <p>6 upgrades to the features and functionality of Lawson</p> <p>7 Software 5.0 as compared to Lawson Software 4.0?</p> <p>8 A Oh, I'm sure there were.</p> <p>9 Q Can you recall any sitting here today?</p> <p>10 A No. It's the same -- all releases have</p> <p>11 changes to them. That's why they're -- they're</p> <p>12 called releases, so that generically speaking there</p> <p>13 are changes.</p> <p>14 Q Right.</p> <p>15 A A lot of times the changes are new</p> <p>16 functionality. Sometimes the changes are new ways</p> <p>17 of accessing the current functionality, but in all</p> <p>18 cases of 4.0, 5.0, and 6.0, the database structure</p> <p>19 was essentially the same.</p> <p>20 Q What was the database structure that you're</p> <p>21 referring to that was similar in 4.0, 5.0, and 6.0</p> <p>22 at a high level?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">22</p> <p>1 officer.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. So you were the individual at Lawson</p> <p>3 Software who had overall responsibility for the</p> <p>4 technology aspects of the Lawson Software 5.0</p> <p>5 release?</p> <p>6 A Yes. On -- on a certain platform ma- -- of</p> <p>7 machine.</p> <p>8 Q And what do you mean by that? What kind of</p> <p>9 platform?</p> <p>10 A We had two platforms back in those days.</p> <p>11 We had Burroughs.</p> <p>12 Q Burroughs?</p> <p>13 A Burroughs. It's a company that you haven't</p> <p>14 heard for a while, but Burroughs, and we had the</p> <p>15 AS -- well, what was called the system 38, which was</p> <p>16 IBM.</p> <p>17 Q And you had responsibility for one of those</p> <p>18 two platforms?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q Which -- which platform?</p> <p>21 A The -- the Burroughs.</p> <p>22 Q Burroughs, okay. And who -- who at Lawson</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">24</p> <p>1 A The same tables, if that's the terminology</p> <p>2 you use here, same files -- we used to call them</p> <p>3 files in the old days. Once you get into SQL</p> <p>4 databases they're called tables. So we're talking</p> <p>5 about master file tables, transaction tables, the</p> <p>6 linkage between the tables are the same.</p> <p>7 Q In preparing for your deposition here</p> <p>8 today, did you review any documents regarding the</p> <p>9 database structure for versions 4.0, 5.0, or 6.0?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q What documents did you review?</p> <p>12 A Don't know the names of them. Just they</p> <p>13 were technical documents showing the -- the tables</p> <p>14 of the dat- -- of the database.</p> <p>15 Q And were they specific to a particular</p> <p>16 release version that you can recall?</p> <p>17 A I don't recall, but -- but it had to be</p> <p>18 they were -- they were -- whatever release version</p> <p>19 they were, that's what I saw was those tables and</p> <p>20 those releases.</p> <p>21 Q Prior to the initial public offering of</p> <p>22 Lawson Software stock, did you have a formal title</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">25</p> <p>1 at the company at any point in time besides founder</p> <p>2 or owner?</p> <p>3 A I was CEO at one time.</p> <p>4 Q COO?</p> <p>5 A CEO.</p> <p>6 Q CEO. And that was -- when were -- when did</p> <p>7 you first become CEO of Lawson Software?</p> <p>8 A Oh, boy. I was CEO when I first started,</p> <p>9 seventy -- 1975 to about 1980 and then I was CEO</p> <p>10 again from about oh, 1998 to 19 -- yeah, 1998 to</p> <p>11 2002, approximately in there, very rough dates.</p> <p>12 Q Who was the CEO of Lawson Software between</p> <p>13 1980 and 1998?</p> <p>14 A Ken Holec.</p> <p>15 Q During that entire period of time?</p> <p>16 A I'd really have to check dates to make --</p> <p>17 to be absolutely accurate.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. And what was your role at Lawson</p> <p>19 Software, your formal title between 1980 and 1998?</p> <p>20 A Again, I -- I didn't really have formal</p> <p>21 titles except for head of development, technology</p> <p>22 development.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">27</p> <p>1 A Yes. At that time, yes.</p> <p>2 Q Okay. So when we talk about Lawson</p> <p>3 Software 5.0, we're talking about Lawson Software</p> <p>4 5.0 as it applies to every Lawson Software product</p> <p>5 that was available in 1990?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q And what Lawson Software products were</p> <p>8 available in 1990?</p> <p>9 A General ledger, accounts payable, accounts</p> <p>10 receivable, purchase order, requisitioning,</p> <p>11 inventory, human resources. I'm probably -- I'm</p> <p>12 probably missing a couple, but order entry.</p> <p>13 Q What was the first version of Lawson</p> <p>14 Software that included a purchase order product?</p> <p>15 A I -- I -- I couldn't give you a date now</p> <p>16 with any certainty.</p> <p>17 Q What was the first version of Lawson</p> <p>18 Software that included a requisitions product?</p> <p>19 A I couldn't give you that with any certainty</p> <p>20 right now. I can tell you approximate years, but I</p> <p>21 can't tell you the exact year.</p> <p>22 Q What was the first version of Lawson</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">26</p> <p>1 Q You mentioned that you were the primary</p> <p>2 person responsible for the development of Lawson 5.0</p> <p>3 with respect to the Burroughs platform; is that</p> <p>4 correct?</p> <p>5 A Burroughs and -- if you really want to get</p> <p>6 technical here, okay. The Burroughs platform was</p> <p>7 also the platform we used for the IBM mainframe,</p> <p>8 same platform, and also for the UNIX or what was</p> <p>9 called open systems platform. That whole side was</p> <p>10 basically the same platform, and I was responsible</p> <p>11 for all of them.</p> <p>12 Q What was the overall purpose of Lawson 5.0</p> <p>13 software? What was it intended to allow a customer</p> <p>14 to do?</p> <p>15 A 5.0?</p> <p>16 Q (Nodding.)</p> <p>17 A All of our software?</p> <p>18 Q Well, let's focus on 5.0. When we talk</p> <p>19 about Lawson 5.0, are we talking about a release</p> <p>20 version that applies to all different Lawson</p> <p>21 Software products? Is that how the versioning</p> <p>22 system worked at Lawson?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">28</p> <p>1 Software that included an inventory product?</p> <p>2 A Again, I can't give you the exact year.</p> <p>3 Q If -- and how -- how is it then that you</p> <p>4 can recall sitting here today that purchase order</p> <p>5 product, the requisitions product, and the inventory</p> <p>6 product were all available in Lawson Software 5.0</p> <p>7 released in 1990?</p> <p>8 A I saw the documentation and remember 1990</p> <p>9 more than I do '85.</p> <p>10 Q Okay.</p> <p>11 A Okay.</p> <p>12 Q What documentation did you see that</p> <p>13 refreshed your recollection that the purchase order</p> <p>14 product, the inventory product, and the requisitions</p> <p>15 product were available in 1990 in the 5.0 release of</p> <p>16 Lawson Software?</p> <p>17 A I saw a description of our database, the</p> <p>18 database layout.</p> <p>19 Q Can you describe for me in a little bit</p> <p>20 more detail what this database description included?</p> <p>21 A It includes all the -- all the tables, all</p> <p>22 the files, all the indexes, all the fields, and the</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">29</p> <p>1 relationship from one file to the other file.</p> <p>2 Q Is this in a spreadsheet format or is this</p> <p>3 in a text document?</p> <p>4 A It's in a text document.</p> <p>5 Q And what's the title of the document if you</p> <p>6 can recall?</p> <p>7 A I don't recall the title.</p> <p>8 Q What's the date of the document?</p> <p>9 A Date I looked at today, I'm not sure.</p> <p>10 1992. I don't remember.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. If -- if the document was dated</p> <p>12 1992, how is it that sitting here today you know</p> <p>13 that purchase order requisitions and inventory were</p> <p>14 available 2 years prior to the date the document was</p> <p>15 created?</p> <p>16 A The 1987 date for 4.0 you're talking about?</p> <p>17 Q I'm talking about -- let me back up for a</p> <p>18 minute. You testified that Lawson Software release</p> <p>19 5.0 was released in 1990, correct?</p> <p>20 A No. I said 19 -- oh, for 5.0, yes.</p> <p>21 Q And you also testified that Lawson Software</p> <p>22 release 5.0 included the inventory, requisitions,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">31</p> <p>1 time and that's the recollection everybody has that</p> <p>2 it came out. There was other documents, too, which</p> <p>3 I did not review but other people told me.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. Who else at Lawson has a specific</p> <p>5 recollection that the requisitions product was</p> <p>6 available in 1990?</p> <p>7 A I think Todd Dooner does and several other</p> <p>8 people.</p> <p>9 Q Anyone else you can remember sitting here</p> <p>10 today?</p> <p>11 A No.</p> <p>12 Q Were there any other products that you can</p> <p>13 recall sitting here today besides the ones you've</p> <p>14 already mentioned that were available in Lawson --</p> <p>15 that were sold as part of Lawson Software in release</p> <p>16 5.0 version?</p> <p>17 A All of our products were sold as 5.0.</p> <p>18 Q Okay. And you listed for me several of</p> <p>19 those products --</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q -- including the ones we've already talked</p> <p>22 about. I'm just asking if there's any more that you</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">30</p> <p>1 and purchase order products, correct?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q So is it your testimony that Lawson</p> <p>4 Software sold the requisition product, for example,</p> <p>5 in 1990?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q And is it your testimony that you recall</p> <p>8 that that was available in 1990 because you reviewed</p> <p>9 a database document?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q And is it also your testimony that that</p> <p>12 database document was dated 1992?</p> <p>13 A It very well could have been printed out in</p> <p>14 1992. It's an electronic document that's in a</p> <p>15 computer that when it gets printed out it ends up</p> <p>16 with a current date on it, so yes.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. My question is, how do you know that</p> <p>18 the requisitions product was available in 1990 if</p> <p>19 you can't -- if you don't know for certain when the</p> <p>20 document that you looked at describing the database</p> <p>21 was made available or created?</p> <p>22 A I -- I and other people were around at the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">32</p> <p>1 haven't mentioned yet but that you -- you can recall</p> <p>2 sitting here today?</p> <p>3 A There may or may not be. I don't know.</p> <p>4 Those are the main ones we sell -- oh, fixed assets</p> <p>5 I didn't mention. We have a lot of modules in our</p> <p>6 financials, a lot of modules in our HR system, a lot</p> <p>7 of modules in our purchasing and inventory systems.</p> <p>8 Q When did Lawson first sell its inventory</p> <p>9 product?</p> <p>10 A I can't tell you the exact date, but I'm</p> <p>11 going to tell you it's probably about 1983 or '84.</p> <p>12 Q And when did Lawson first sell its</p> <p>13 requisitions product?</p> <p>14 A I can't give you the exact date, but</p> <p>15 sometime in the 1980s.</p> <p>16 Q And when did Lawson first sell its</p> <p>17 inventory product?</p> <p>18 A Any reason you're backing up?</p> <p>19 Q Thank you for pointing that out. When did</p> <p>20 Lawson first sell its purchase order product?</p> <p>21 A Again, about the time we sold requisition,</p> <p>22 whatever time that was. We sold --</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">33</p> <p>1 Q You're not certain about the exact date.</p> <p>2 You have a --</p> <p>3 A No. I -- I honestly did not go back to --</p> <p>4 to look at the exact first date of our first release</p> <p>5 of those products.</p> <p>6 Q So sitting here today, you're not able as</p> <p>7 Lawson's corporate representative to provide me with</p> <p>8 a date on which Lawson first sold its requisitions</p> <p>9 product?</p> <p>10 A No, I'm not.</p> <p>11 Q When did you step down -- I think I already</p> <p>12 asked you this, but I think you mentioned that you</p> <p>13 stepped down as the CEO of Lawson in 2002; is that</p> <p>14 correct?</p> <p>15 A Let me see. No. I stepped down from the</p> <p>16 CEO of Lawson in about 1980.</p> <p>17 Q Okay, sorry. I'm not trying to trick --</p> <p>18 I'm not trying to ask you a trick question.</p> <p>19 A I'm kind of -- anyway.</p> <p>20 Q Okay. So and then you resumed that role in</p> <p>21 1998?</p> <p>22 A I resumed that role in 1998.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">35</p> <p>1 MR. SCHULTZ: Right, okay. So -- so for</p> <p>2 any of the topics that don't deal with 4 and 6, I</p> <p>3 would object that that is not in Mr. Lawson's</p> <p>4 corporate capacity, but is in his personal capacity,</p> <p>5 if we could just proceed on that -- on that</p> <p>6 objection grounds.</p> <p>7 MR. STRAPP: That's fair.</p> <p>8 BY MR. STRAPP:</p> <p>9 Q So you were going to explain for me why</p> <p>10 Lawson went public in 2001.</p> <p>11 A Because basically a window opened up that</p> <p>12 the financial institutions said it was a time that</p> <p>13 we could make it. We were wanting to go public</p> <p>14 about a year earlier, but with a lot of economic</p> <p>15 meltdown and things going on, there was never a</p> <p>16 window, but there was a window in 2001.</p> <p>17 Q And why did you step down as the CEO of</p> <p>18 Lawson prior to Lawson going public?</p> <p>19 A Because I wanted to. I mean, I -- I did</p> <p>20 not -- I did not want to run a public company as a</p> <p>21 CEO. My forte is in technology and in development.</p> <p>22 It is not in the CEO position of a company,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">34</p> <p>1 Q And you -- and then when -- subsequent to</p> <p>2 1998 when did you leave the CEO post?</p> <p>3 A I left the CEO post when we went public.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. And when did Lawson go public?</p> <p>5 A I'm sorry. Right before we went public,</p> <p>6 but it was -- we went public in 2001.</p> <p>7 Q Why did Lawson Software decide to go public</p> <p>8 in 2001?</p> <p>9 A It was a good window.</p> <p>10 MR. SCHULTZ: Before you answer the</p> <p>11 question, we've talked -- just for the record, we've</p> <p>12 talked before that this is a deposition that covers</p> <p>13 the categories 4 and 6 but also personal deposition.</p> <p>14 Are you planning on separating those categories out?</p> <p>15 Because this is outside of the scope of -- of 4 and</p> <p>16 6 here?</p> <p>17 MR. STRAPP: I think that I may or I may</p> <p>18 not, and feel free to object to the extent that it's</p> <p>19 beyond the scope, and if it is, we recognize that</p> <p>20 Mr. Lawson will be testifying in his individual</p> <p>21 capacity to those portions of the deposition</p> <p>22 questions.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">36</p> <p>1 especially one that's public.</p> <p>2 Q Did you sell any shares in Lawson Software</p> <p>3 after the company had its initial public offering?</p> <p>4 A After?</p> <p>5 Q Yeah.</p> <p>6 A Like?</p> <p>7 Q Like immediately subsequent, within, let's</p> <p>8 say, the year 2001.</p> <p>9 A No.</p> <p>10 Q When was the first time that you sold</p> <p>11 shares in Lawson Software after the IPO?</p> <p>12 MR. SCHULTZ: Objection, relevance.</p> <p>13 THE WITNESS: I really don't understand the</p> <p>14 reason for the question. I'm happy to answer it.</p> <p>15 It doesn't bother me, but it was probably a year,</p> <p>16 year and a half later. I did not take advantage, if</p> <p>17 that's what you're trying to get at is, of a -- of a</p> <p>18 stock hit or a stock increase in the first 3 months</p> <p>19 of going public. In fact, I tied my shares up.</p> <p>20 BY MR. STRAPP:</p> <p>21 Q Do you still own shares of Lawson Software?</p> <p>22 A I sure do.</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">37</p> <p>1 Q Do you know approximately how many shares</p> <p>2 you still own?</p> <p>3 A Well, now you're getting into family</p> <p>4 foundations and things so --</p> <p>5 Q Is that information that's available in</p> <p>6 public filings with SEC?</p> <p>7 A Probably, yes, so you can find them there.</p> <p>8 Q Is it accurate to state that you own</p> <p>9 approximately 8 million shares of Lawson Software?</p> <p>10 A It's accurate to say between 8 and 9</p> <p>11 million between myself and some foundations.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. I want to ask you a few questions</p> <p>13 going back to Lawson 5.0. Have you ever heard of</p> <p>14 the concept of an Item Master?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q What do you understand an Item Master to</p> <p>17 be?</p> <p>18 A It's a master file that is a basic list of</p> <p>19 all the items you have in your inventory.</p> <p>20 Q And how is an Item Master used in</p> <p>21 procurement software, typically?</p> <p>22 A An Item Master is used in procurement</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">39</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q And is it your understanding that IC refers</p> <p>3 to inventory control?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Is it your understanding that PO refers to</p> <p>6 purchase order?</p> <p>7 A Yes.</p> <p>8 Q And is it your understanding that RQ refers</p> <p>9 to requisitions?</p> <p>10 A Yes.</p> <p>11 Q And are those three of the products that</p> <p>12 Lawson offered in its software release version 6.0?</p> <p>13 A It's the differences between what we</p> <p>14 offered in 5.0 and 6.0.</p> <p>15 Q Were all three of these products offered by</p> <p>16 Lawson as -- both as part of Lawson Software 5.0 and</p> <p>17 as part of Lawson 6 -- 6.0?</p> <p>18 A Yes. Yes.</p> <p>19 Q Could you turn to the -- let me ask you</p> <p>20 another question. Do you know who prepared this</p> <p>21 document?</p> <p>22 A No, I do not.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">38</p> <p>1 software to know what items you have that you want</p> <p>2 to procure.</p> <p>3 (Lawson Deposition Exhibit 2 was</p> <p>4 marked for identification and was attached to the</p> <p>5 deposition transcript.)</p> <p>6 BY MR. STRAPP:</p> <p>7 Q The court reporter's now handed you what's</p> <p>8 been marked as Lawson Exhibit 2. It's a document</p> <p>9 entitled "Summary of 5.0 and 6.0 Differences - IC,</p> <p>10 PO & RQ."</p> <p>11 A Mm-hmm.</p> <p>12 Q Do you have that document in front of you</p> <p>13 now, Mr. Lawson?</p> <p>14 A Yes, I do.</p> <p>15 Q Do you recognize this document?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q What is it?</p> <p>18 A It's a summary of 5.0 and 6.0 differences,</p> <p>19 IC, PO, and RQ.</p> <p>20 Q And do you understand 5.0 and 6.0</p> <p>21 differences to refer to Lawson Software release 5.0</p> <p>22 and Lawson Software release 6.0?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">40</p> <p>1 Q Is this the type of document that would</p> <p>2 have -- based on your review of the document and</p> <p>3 familiarity with it, is this a document that would</p> <p>4 have been released outside of Lawson, or would this</p> <p>5 be an internal document to Lawson?</p> <p>6 A I would speculate it's an internal</p> <p>7 document.</p> <p>8 Q And what would lead you to the conclusion</p> <p>9 or to the opinion that it's an internal document?</p> <p>10 A Because it's just a summary type of</p> <p>11 differences that somebody probably asked for.</p> <p>12 Q So this doesn't appear to you to be a</p> <p>13 document that you would release to Lawson customers?</p> <p>14 A Does not look that way.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. And do you know whether similar</p> <p>16 documents were created each -- by Lawson each time a</p> <p>17 new software version was released?</p> <p>18 A No.</p> <p>19 Q Have you ever been seen any documents like</p> <p>20 this one describing differences between other</p> <p>21 versions of Lawson Software?</p> <p>22 A I'm sure I have, and do I recall anything</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">41</p> <p>1 specific? No.</p> <p>2 Q Could you turn to page -- the last page of</p> <p>3 the document. Do you see the document is dated --</p> <p>4 this last page has a date on it January 27th, 1995?</p> <p>5 A Yes.</p> <p>6 Q Was -- was it -- was it your testimony</p> <p>7 earlier today that Lawson 6.0 was released in 1992?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q Do you have an understanding as to why</p> <p>10 someone would have created an outline of differences</p> <p>11 between versions 5.0 and 6.0 3 years after version</p> <p>12 6.0 was released?</p> <p>13 A I could only speculate, but, no, I would</p> <p>14 have no idea.</p> <p>15 Q Okay. What's your understanding of what</p> <p>16 this outline refers to, this final page, which is</p> <p>17 Bates numbered L 17236?</p> <p>18 A Just bullet points of areas that were</p> <p>19 changed or features that were added or changed.</p> <p>20 Q Is it fair to say that this document lists</p> <p>21 categories or products and then has itemized numbers</p> <p>22 of changes with respect to each of those categories</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">43</p> <p>1 Q And is it your understanding reviewing this</p> <p>2 list as you sit here today that each of the numbered</p> <p>3 items is an area or a particular feature of version</p> <p>4 6.0 that was not available in version 5.0?</p> <p>5 A No.</p> <p>6 Q So tell me what it is you understand this</p> <p>7 list to describe.</p> <p>8 A It would describe areas of 5.0 that were</p> <p>9 changed in some fashion.</p> <p>10 Q Okay.</p> <p>11 A They were available in 5.0, but they were</p> <p>12 changed to operate different or have different</p> <p>13 features or extended features in 6.0.</p> <p>14 Q All right. So what were the differences in</p> <p>15 features for the Item Master between the version 6.0</p> <p>16 of the software and the version 5.0 of the software?</p> <p>17 A I couldn't give you all of them, but</p> <p>18 certainly new fields were added. You have to go</p> <p>19 back to the summary there to get even a feel for it,</p> <p>20 and I have a feeling this list may or may not be</p> <p>21 complete. It's the highlighted things, the</p> <p>22 highlighted differences.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">42</p> <p>1 or products?</p> <p>2 A I'm not sure I would use the word "itemized</p> <p>3 changes." I would say whoever made this document</p> <p>4 chose to highlight these.</p> <p>5 Q And is it your understanding that the</p> <p>6 numbered items under each product or category are a</p> <p>7 list of highlighted changes between version 5.0 and</p> <p>8 6.0?</p> <p>9 A That's what I assume the documents for,</p> <p>10 yes.</p> <p>11 Q Okay. Do you see the heading inventory</p> <p>12 control?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q Does that refer to the inventory control</p> <p>15 product that was available in both versions 5.0 and</p> <p>16 6.0?</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q And do numbers 1 through 8 refer to</p> <p>19 highlighted differences between versions 5.0 and</p> <p>20 6.0?</p> <p>21 A I assume they do, based on looking at the</p> <p>22 detailed summary.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">44</p> <p>1 Q Well, let's look back at that -- let's look</p> <p>2 back at that page before. Do you see there's a</p> <p>3 category requisitions on page 6, Bates No. L 17235?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q And do you see here under requisitions</p> <p>6 there's a description about differences between</p> <p>7 version 5.0 and version 6.0 of the requisitions</p> <p>8 product?</p> <p>9 A Yes.</p> <p>10 Q And do you see that the document</p> <p>11 states, "There are two big differences in the</p> <p>12 requisition system. First, you cannot turn</p> <p>13 requisitions for inventory items into purchase</p> <p>14 orders; and, second, there's no speed PO created"?</p> <p>15 A Yes.</p> <p>16 Q And isn't it correct that in version 5.0 of</p> <p>17 Lawson Software release for the requisitions product</p> <p>18 there was not a feature available to turn</p> <p>19 requisitions for inventory items into purchase</p> <p>20 orders?</p> <p>21 A That's what it says here, what it implies</p> <p>22 here, but I -- I cannot answer that one way or the</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">45</p> <p>1 other. I'd have to check.</p> <p>2 Q Sitting here today are you aware -- are you</p> <p>3 aware one way or another of any particular features</p> <p>4 that were available in Lawson Software five -- that</p> <p>5 were not available in Lawson Software 5.0 but were</p> <p>6 available in Lawson Software 6.0?</p> <p>7 A Well, I -- I could only read what's here</p> <p>8 like you do.</p> <p>9 Q Okay. So you don't have any specific</p> <p>10 memory sitting here today of the changes in features</p> <p>11 that were made to a product that was released 18</p> <p>12 years ago from a product that was released 20 years</p> <p>13 ago?</p> <p>14 A Me? No.</p> <p>15 Q It's hard enough to remember what happened</p> <p>16 18 days ago, right?</p> <p>17 A Well, you know, that's the way it goes,</p> <p>18 yeah.</p> <p>19 Q Isn't it true that Lawson Software 5.0 did</p> <p>20 not have the capability of automatically loading the</p> <p>21 vendor catalogs into the Lawson inventory control</p> <p>22 product?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">47</p> <p>1 that. And if you're talking about the latest</p> <p>2 products, I haven't kept up with the latest</p> <p>3 products.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. So -- well -- so it's your testimony</p> <p>5 then that version 5.0 of Lawson Software release did</p> <p>6 not include the capability to automatically load</p> <p>7 vendor catalogs into the Lawson system, correct?</p> <p>8 A The way you state, that's correct.</p> <p>9 Q It's also your testimony that version 6.0</p> <p>10 of Lawson Software release did not include the</p> <p>11 capability to automatically load vendor catalogs</p> <p>12 into the Lawson system, correct?</p> <p>13 A The way you're stating that, that's</p> <p>14 correct.</p> <p>15 Q I've seen Lawson introduced software</p> <p>16 releases sometimes with a decimal. You know, it'll</p> <p>17 be 6.0 and then there'll be a 6.0.1, for example.</p> <p>18 Can you describe for me how that numbering system</p> <p>19 was developed and how it works at Lawson Software?</p> <p>20 A You go from a 6 to a 5 left of the decimal</p> <p>21 point, that's usually a major system release.</p> <p>22 Anything below that is usually fixes for bugs, minor</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">46</p> <p>1 A We never had the -- we don't load vendor</p> <p>2 catalogs.</p> <p>3 Q So there's no -- there was no capability to</p> <p>4 load vendor catalogs into the Lawson system?</p> <p>5 A There was -- there's also a capability of</p> <p>6 loading Item Master records or populate any tables</p> <p>7 we have into our system. They are standard database</p> <p>8 systems and you can create batch input files and</p> <p>9 load them to your heart's content.</p> <p>10 Q All right. I'm talking about vendor</p> <p>11 catalogs here.</p> <p>12 A We -- an Item Master is not a vendor</p> <p>13 catalog.</p> <p>14 Q In the current version of Lawson -- well,</p> <p>15 let me back up.</p> <p>16 Are you familiar with a feature of the</p> <p>17 Lawson system that allows a user to automatically</p> <p>18 load vendor item information into the Lawson system?</p> <p>19 A Vendor item information? That would be the</p> <p>20 Item Master. We do not load catalogs. We load data</p> <p>21 into the Item Master files, which includes some</p> <p>22 vendor item information, but includes much more than</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">48</p> <p>1 fixes for all sorts of things. So that's --</p> <p>2 anything below a 5 is not a major -- anything below</p> <p>3 -- after the decimal point denotes a nonmajor</p> <p>4 release.</p> <p>5 Q And is there a particular person at Lawson</p> <p>6 that's responsible for deciding whether a change or</p> <p>7 changes rise to the level of a new version?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q And would -- would that person have been</p> <p>10 you with respect to Lawson Software release 5.0?</p> <p>11 A No.</p> <p>12 Q Who would that have been?</p> <p>13 A It would have been the develop- -- person</p> <p>14 doing the development of the applications.</p> <p>15 Q And who -- who was that person with respect</p> <p>16 to 5.0?</p> <p>17 A He worked for -- he worked for my brother</p> <p>18 at that time. I was in the technology area.</p> <p>19 Q Okay.</p> <p>20 A Not the application area.</p> <p>21 Q And your brother was in the application</p> <p>22 area?</p>

<p style="text-align: right;">49</p> <p>1 A Yes.</p> <p>2 Q What's your brother's name?</p> <p>3 A Bill Lawson, William Lawson.</p> <p>4 Q And do you recall what the name of the</p> <p>5 individual was who worked for your brother?</p> <p>6 A No. No.</p> <p>7 Q So it was an individual in the application</p> <p>8 area who was responsible for deciding on the</p> <p>9 versioning number to be attached to particular</p> <p>10 changes?</p> <p>11 A I doubt if you'd call it an individual. It</p> <p>12 was a group --</p> <p>13 Q Group?</p> <p>14 A It was a group of people. Maybe it was</p> <p>15 consensus as to what our next release date is and</p> <p>16 what we're going to try to release in it.</p> <p>17 Q How many -- to the best of your knowledge,</p> <p>18 how many employees currently employed by Lawson</p> <p>19 Software today were employed by Lawson Software back</p> <p>20 when version 5.0 was released?</p> <p>21 A In terms of percentage?</p> <p>22 Q In terms of absolute numbers. Is there</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">51</p> <p>1 conditions and -- and -- of the software and then we</p> <p>2 decided a new release was -- was warranted. You can</p> <p>3 look back and see it was probably every 2 or 3</p> <p>4 years, but that's historically looking.</p> <p>5 Q Did the Lawson 5.0 version, the</p> <p>6 requisitions product that was sold as part of Lawson</p> <p>7 5.0, include the feature of allowing a user to add a</p> <p>8 requisition based on the results of a search for a</p> <p>9 particular selected matching item?</p> <p>10 A A person on -- on a requisition could --</p> <p>11 you're saying could he select a vendor basically?</p> <p>12 Q I'm saying could he go into some sort of</p> <p>13 search screen where he could search for a particular</p> <p>14 item within a list of items, find that item, and</p> <p>15 then create an -- an automatic requisition based on</p> <p>16 the result of his search?</p> <p>17 A I'm -- I'm not sure I understand the</p> <p>18 question. If you're actually creating a</p> <p>19 requisition, you can actually select items you want</p> <p>20 on the requisition.</p> <p>21 Q Right. And was there any means for</p> <p>22 searching for items rather than selecting an already</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">50</p> <p>1 over ten people that are still around?</p> <p>2 A Oh, yeah. Yeah. Quite a few. Quite a</p> <p>3 few. But, yeah, I -- I could not give you a number.</p> <p>4 Q But more than ten?</p> <p>5 A Mm-hmm.</p> <p>6 Q More than 20 you think?</p> <p>7 A In terms of the entire company?</p> <p>8 Q Yes.</p> <p>9 A Oh, yeah. If you're going into</p> <p>10 salespeople, support people, and so forth,</p> <p>11 certainly.</p> <p>12 Q Was there a -- was there a company -- was</p> <p>13 there a schedule kept by the company about -- was</p> <p>14 there -- let me back up for a minute. Was there a</p> <p>15 time line that the company tried to adhere to in</p> <p>16 releasing new versions of the software?</p> <p>17 A No. I mean, it was depending upon -- first</p> <p>18 -- first of all, if you get into lower levels of</p> <p>19 numbers, it depends on number of bugs or adjustments</p> <p>20 that have to be made to the software. When you're</p> <p>21 talking about whether it goes from major release to</p> <p>22 the next major release depended upon market</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">52</p> <p>1 known item to the user?</p> <p>2 A For searching an item?</p> <p>3 Q Let's say a user wanted to search -- for</p> <p>4 example, say a user was at a healthcare company and</p> <p>5 they wanted to search for syringes, and they wanted</p> <p>6 to create a requisition for particular types of</p> <p>7 syringes based on the list that came up. What kind</p> <p>8 of functionality did Lawson 5.0 include for</p> <p>9 searching for syringes?</p> <p>10 A You could search anything in the Item</p> <p>11 Master file by its number or by its name.</p> <p>12 Q And did you have to already know the name</p> <p>13 or the number item where to find a particular --</p> <p>14 A Not the name. If you -- if you were</p> <p>15 looking for a description, you could type it into</p> <p>16 description.</p> <p>17 Q Were there user manuals that existed for</p> <p>18 the requisitions product that was sold with Lawson</p> <p>19 Software 5.0?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q And do those user -- do those user manuals</p> <p>22 describe the different functionalities that are</p>

<p>53</p> <p>1 available for 5.0?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q And what do those -- what do those user</p> <p>4 manuals describe about the search functionality</p> <p>5 for --</p> <p>6 A I couldn't answer that right now because I</p> <p>7 haven't looked at the users manuals lately.</p> <p>8 Q So sitting here today, you can't recall the</p> <p>9 specific features and functionality that were</p> <p>10 available for the requisitions product in a version</p> <p>11 released 20 years ago. Is that a fair statement?</p> <p>12 A In terms of -- that's a fair statement.</p> <p>13 MR. STRAPP: We've been going for about an</p> <p>14 hour. Do you want to take a break here?</p> <p>15 MR. SCHULTZ: That'd be fine.</p> <p>16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.</p> <p>17 The time is 1:27 p.m.</p> <p>18 (Recess.)</p> <p>19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record. The</p> <p>20 time is 1:42 p.m.</p> <p>21 (Lawson Deposition Exhibit 3 was</p> <p>22 marked for identification and was attached to the</p>	<p>55</p> <p>1 second-to-last page of the document -- I should say</p> <p>2 the third-to-last page of the document -- there's a</p> <p>3 document entitled "Verification."</p> <p>4 A Mm-hmm.</p> <p>5 Q Take a look at the second-to-last page.</p> <p>6 There's -- there's a paragraph in here that says the</p> <p>7 undersigned has -- it says the undersigned has read</p> <p>8 the contents of Lawson's responses to plaintiff's</p> <p>9 interrogatories. There's three different paragraphs</p> <p>10 with different numbered interrogatories, dated</p> <p>11 October 9th, and knows the content, and I won't read</p> <p>12 the entire thing, but knows that the content of this</p> <p>13 information is true, and various people at Lawson</p> <p>14 have signed these paragraphs representing that on</p> <p>15 behalf of Lawson the responses Lawson has provided</p> <p>16 are to the best of their information true and</p> <p>17 accurate.</p> <p>18 So I'll represent to you that this is a</p> <p>19 document we received from Lawson during the course</p> <p>20 of this litigation, and I want to ask you about a</p> <p>21 particular portion of the document. If you could</p> <p>22 turn to page 20, you see there's a subheading there.</p>
<p>54</p> <p>1 deposition transcript.)</p> <p>2 BY MR. STRAPP:</p> <p>3 Q The court reporter has just handed you</p> <p>4 what's been marked as Exhibit -- Lawson Exhibit 3.</p> <p>5 This is a document entitled Defendant Lawson</p> <p>6 Software Supplemental Responses to Plaintiff ePlus's</p> <p>7 Interrogatories, Nos. 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16,</p> <p>8 and 17. It's a very long, multipage document,</p> <p>9 Mr. Lawson, but I don't want to ask you about the</p> <p>10 whole document. I want to ask you about a</p> <p>11 particular portion of the document.</p> <p>12 First, let me ask you, are you familiar</p> <p>13 with a document called -- are you familiar with this</p> <p>14 document?</p> <p>15 A I may have seen it once, but I am not</p> <p>16 familiar with it.</p> <p>17 Q Okay. I'll represent to you that this is</p> <p>18 Lawson Software's written discovery responses to</p> <p>19 interrogatories posed to it by ePlus in the course</p> <p>20 of the ongoing litigation between the companies.</p> <p>21 A Okay.</p> <p>22 Q And if you could turn first to the</p>	<p>56</p> <p>1 It says Interrogatory Number 14.</p> <p>2 All right. Take a moment to read that</p> <p>3 interrogatory number 14.</p> <p>4 Now, Lawson has responded to this request</p> <p>5 for information, and, for the record, interrogatory</p> <p>6 14 seeks an identification of each system, software</p> <p>7 application, product, and/or service imported, made,</p> <p>8 offered for sale, sold, licensed, or otherwise</p> <p>9 distributed by Lawson that has one of more of the</p> <p>10 following features, functions, or capabilities, A,</p> <p>11 conducting a search for an item in a product catalog</p> <p>12 or product database; B --</p> <p>13 A I'm sorry. Where are you at?</p> <p>14 Q -- I'm reading the interrogatory right now,</p> <p>15 B, creating a requisition for an item; C, generating</p> <p>16 a purchase order for an item; D, determining the</p> <p>17 availability of an item in an inventory; and, E,</p> <p>18 comparing one or more attributes of an item</p> <p>19 available from a first source, supplier or vendor,</p> <p>20 to an item available from a second source, supplier</p> <p>21 or vendor.</p> <p>22 If you take a look at page 21 and the</p>